UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,961	04/04/2007	Seong No Yoon	9988.242.00-US	1622
30827 7590 11/16/2009 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			EXAMINER	
			CORMIER, DAVID G	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/552,961	YOON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DAVID CORMIER	1792		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 A</u> 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This     3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-8,10,11 and 13-15 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ☐ Claim(s) 8,10,11 and 13-15 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 7 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s) 1)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1792

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments/Amendments

- 1. This Office action is responsive to the amendment filed on August 18, 2009. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 10, 11 and 13-15 are pending. Claims 1, 6, 8, 10 and 11 have been amended. Claims 5, 9 and 12 have been canceled.
- 2. The objection to Claim 12 for being in improper dependent form has been withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendments.
- 3. The rejection of Claims 5-7 and 8-15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite, has been withdrawn in response to Applicant's amendments.
- 4. Regarding Claims 1-4, 6 and 7, Applicant's arguments filed August 18, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant argues that Stadelmann does not disclose a hanging sill and a hanging piece that prevent the damper pin from being separated from the cabinet. It is the Examiner's position that Stadelmann does in fact teach the claimed limitations.

  Stadelmann discloses a washer unit 2 supported by vibration dampers 11. The vibration dampers 11 connect to the washer unit 2 and the main frame 5 at bearings 16 and 22, respectively. Bearing sleeve 26 is used to secure the bottom of vibration damper 11 to bearing 22. Grooves 27 in the bearing sleeve 26 cooperate with the bearing opening 28 to hold the bearing sleeve in place (see Figure 6). It is not the grooved surface which holds the bearing sleeve 26 in place, but the raised portions on the bearing sleeve that define the groove 27. It is the Examiner's position that those

Art Unit: 1792

raised surfaces, which define the grooves, read on the claim language "hanging sill" and "hanging piece," and that these prevent the bearing sleeve from being separated from the cabinet because Applicant has not shown that either phrase imparts any more structure than is shown by Stadelmann.

- 6. Next, Applicant argues that Stadelmann or Hoffmann, alone or in combination, does not teach or suggest "an elastic member provided within the damper pin." It is the Examiner's position that Hoffmann does teach this. Hoffmann discloses a slotted hollow pivot for connecting relatively movable parts, where the pivot can be inserted in an uncompressed state (col. 2, lines 65-74), then tightened by inserting a material such as a spring steel (col. 3, lines 9-14; spring steel is considered to read on an elastic member). Because Hoffman motivates the use of an elastic member as the tightening element in a pin, it would have been obvious, and predictable, to one of ordinary skill in the art to use other elastic members such as the claimed rubber or spring in the device of Stadelmann. For the above reasons, the rejection of Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 is maintained.
- 7. Applicant's arguments, see page 3, filed August 18, 2009, with respect to Claims 8, 10, 11 and 13-15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of Claims 8, 10, 11 and 13-15 has been withdrawn.

## Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 8, 10, 11 and 13-15 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1792

9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not provide for, or make obvious, a drum type washing machine comprising: a cabinet forming an exterior of the drum type washing machine; a tub provided within the cabinet; a damper having one end connected to the tub and the other end rotatably connected to the cabinet; a damper pin rotatably joining the damper to the cabinet, the damper pin having a slot formed along a lateral side thereof; and a felt provided within the damper pin, wherein the felt is impregnated with a lubricant.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 1. Claims 1-4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stadelmann et al. (USPN 5,230,229) in view of Hoffmann (GB 490,718).
- 2. Regarding Claims 1-4, 6 and 7, Stadelmann discloses a drum type washing machine comprising:
  - a. a cabinet forming an exterior of the drum type washing machine (6);
  - b. a tub provided within the cabinet (2);
  - c. a damper (11) having one end connected to the tub and the other end rotatably connected to the cabinet (Figure 3; col. 3, lines 14-17); and
  - d. a damper pin (Figure 6, part 26) having predetermined elasticity (the damper pin inherently has some amount of elasticity) and rotatably joining the

Application/Control Number: 10/552,961

Art Unit: 1792

damper to the cabinet (see Figure 3; col. 3, lines 18-27), where the damper pin has a slot along a lateral side of the damper pin (25); and

Page 5

- e. the damper pin has a hanging sill provided to one side of the damper pin (Figure 6, the leftmost portion of the damper to the left the left groove, 27) and a hanging piece provided to the other side of the damper pin to prevent the damper pin from being separated (Figure 6, the rightmost portion of the damper to the right of right groove, 27), where the hanging piece is formed as one body with the damper pin (See Figure 6) and has a predetermined elasticity (the hanging piece would inherently have some amount of elasticity).
- 3. Stadelmann does not expressly disclose that there is an elastic member (rubber or spring) having a predetermined elasticity (predetermined elasticity could mean any amount of elasticity) within the damper pin.
- 4. Hoffmann discloses a slotted hollow pivot for connecting relatively movable parts, where the pivot can be inserted in an uncompressed state (col. 2, lines 65-74), then tightened by inserting a material such as a spring steel (col. 3, lines 9-14). Figures 3-12 show examples of the slotted, hollow shell of the pivot, and Figures 13-19 show examples of inner tightening elements.
- 5. Because it is known in the art to have a tightening member inserted into a pin, which connects movable parts, as in Hoffmann, and the results of the modification would be predictable, namely, an effective way of stiffening a pin after it has been inserted into a bore or pivot opening, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have all kind of tightening members, such as

Art Unit: 1792

rubber, springs, etc., inserted into the pin of Stadelmann. The resulting damper pin with a rubber or spring interior would yield the claimed invention.

#### Conclusion

- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 7. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CORMIER whose telephone number is (571) 270-7386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Thursday 8:30 6:00.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1792

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael Barr/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792

/DGC/ David Cormier 11/10/2009